1

2

3 4

5

6 7

8

9 10

11

12

13 14

15 16

17 18

20

19

23

26 27

21 22

24 25

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF NEVADA

DAVID ALLEN HICKS,

JEREMY BEAN, et al.,

٧.

Petitioner,

Respondents.

Case No. 2:25-cv-00972-MMD-NJK

APPOINTMENT AND SCHEDULING ORDER

On June 12, 2025, the Court granted Petitioner David Allen Hicks's motion for appointment of counsel and gave the Federal Public Defender 30 days to (1) undertake

direct representation of Hicks by filing a notice of appearance or (2) indicate its inability

to represent Hicks in these proceedings. (ECF No. 6.) On July 7, 2025, the Federal Public

Defender filed notices of appearance. (ECF Nos. 9, 10.)

It is therefore ordered that the Federal Public Defender, through Ashlyn Saenz-Ochoa and Jonathan Kirshbaum, are appointed as counsel for Hicks under 18 U.S.C. § 3006A(a)(2)(B). Counsel will represent Hicks in all federal proceedings related to this matter, including any appeals or certiorari proceedings, unless allowed to withdraw.

It is further ordered that Hicks shall have up to and including 90 days from entry of this Order within which to file an amended petition and/or seek other appropriate relief. Neither the foregoing deadline nor any extension thereof signifies or will signify any implied finding as to the expiration of the federal limitation period and/or a basis for tolling during the established time period. Hicks remains responsible for calculating the running of the federal limitation period and timely asserting claims without regard to any deadlines established or extensions granted herein. By setting a deadline to amend the petition and/or by granting any extension thereof, the Court makes no finding or representation

that the petition, any amendments thereto, and/or any claims contained therein are not subject to dismissal as untimely. *See Sossa v. Diaz*, 729 F.3d 1225, 1235 (9th Cir. 2013).

It is further ordered that Respondents shall file a response to the amended petition, including potentially by motion to dismiss, within 60 days of service of an amended petition and that Hicks may file a reply thereto within 30 days of service of the answer. The response and reply time to any motion filed by either party, including a motion filed in lieu of a pleading, shall be governed instead by Local Rule LR 7-2(b).

It is further ordered that any procedural defenses raised by Respondents to the counseled amended petition shall be raised together in a single consolidated motion to dismiss. The Court does not wish to address any procedural defenses raised herein either in seriatum fashion in multiple successive motions to dismiss or embedded in the answer. Procedural defenses omitted from such motion to dismiss will be subject to potential waiver. Respondents shall not file a response in this case that consolidates their procedural defenses, if any, with their response on the merits except under 28 U.S.C. § 2254(b)(2) as to any unexhausted claims clearly lacking merit. If Respondents do seek dismissal of unexhausted claims under § 2254(b)(2): (a) they shall do so within the single motion to dismiss not in the answer; and (b) they shall specifically direct their argument to the standard for dismissal under § 2254(b)(2) set forth in *Cassett v. Stewart*, 406 F.3d 614, 623-24 (9th Cir. 2005). No procedural defenses, including exhaustion, shall be included with the merits in an answer. All procedural defenses, including exhaustion, instead must be raised by motion to dismiss.

It is further ordered that, in any answer filed on the merits, Respondents shall specifically cite to and address the applicable state court written decision and state court record materials, if any, regarding each claim within the response as to that claim.

It is further ordered that any state court record and related exhibits filed herein by either Hicks or Respondents shall be filed with a separate index of exhibits identifying the

exhibits by number. The CM/ECF attachments that are further filed shall be identified by the number or numbers of the exhibits in the attachment. If the exhibits filed span more than one ECF number in the record, the first document under each successive ECF number shall be either another copy of the index, a volume cover page, or some other document serving as a filler so that each exhibit under the ECF number thereafter will be listed under an attachment number (e.g., attachment 1, 2, etc.).

It is further ordered that courtesy copies of exhibits shall not be provided.

DATED THIS 15th Day of July 2025.

MIRANDA M. DU

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE